

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/539,834	03/31/2000		Todd Siegel	00632649 9608		
;	7590	12/04/2002				
Robert J Dep		EXAMINER				
Mayer Brown PO Box 2828			TAWFIK, SAMEH			
Chicago, IL 60690-2828				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3721	3721	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			•					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	09/539,834	SIEGEL ET AL.					
	omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sameh H. Tawfik	3721					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 C	October 2002 .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. :						
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
· ·								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
The state of a state of								
Attach	We)							
Attachment(s)  15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
16) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (P10-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/539,834

Art Unit: 3721

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II (claims 7-13) in Paper No. 13 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudsen (4,490,963) in view of Siegel et al. (4,834,264).

Knudsen discloses a method of filling solid pharmaceutical product packaging comprising the steps of automatically (Fig. 1; via switches board) dispensing one or more solid pharmaceutical products (Fig. 1; via loading station 16) from a plurality of different drug sources (Figs. 4-6 and 12) into each cavity of a plurality of product package cavities (Figs. 1 and 12; via forming station 14); automatically and transferring the solid pharmaceuticals located in the product package cavities into a product package member (Fig. 1; via covering strip 4). Knudsen failed to disclose that dispensing one or more products from a plurality of different drug sources into a common funnel and effecting relative motion between the funnel and plurality of product package template cavities nor the product package template cavities corresponding to cavities of

Application/Control Number: 09/539,834

Art Unit: 3721

a product package member. However, Siegel disclose that dispensing one or more products from a plurality of different drug sources into a common funnel and effecting relative motion between the funnel and plurality of product package template cavities (Fig. 2) and the product package template cavities (Fig. 2; via 46) corresponding to cavities of a product package member. In case that the applicants will not be convinced that Knudsen's reference doesn't disclose the step of automatically dispensing the pharmaceutical products. The examiner believes it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Knudsen's a method of filling solid pharmaceutical product packaging by having the step of automatically dispensing the pharmaceutical products, since it has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art. In re Venner, 120 USPQ 192.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Knudsen's method of filling solid pharmaceutical product packaging by having the step of dispensing one or more products from a plurality of different drug sources into a common funnel and effecting relative motion between the funnel and plurality of product package template cavities and the product package template cavities corresponding to cavities of a product package member, as suggested by Siegel, in order to dispense objects of widely differing size and shape (column 2, lines 57-62).

Regarding claim 8: Knudsen discloses that during the step of dispensing the solid pharmaceutical products (via lading station 16) simultaneously sealing another pharmaceutical product package (via sealing station 18) that has been previously filled with a variety of solid pharmaceuticals (Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/539,834 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claims 9 and 10: Knudsen discloses a step of printing information on a pharmaceutical product package (via printing station 12).

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 7 and 8 above, and further in view of Bouthiette (6,023,916).

Knudsen failed to disclose a step of dispensing first and second pharmaceuticals into a single template cavity. However, Bouthiette discloses the step of dispensing first and second pharmaceuticals into a single template cavity (Fig. 8) to be administered to a patient whenever the patient has to take the bills together at the same time (column 1, lines 18-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Knudsen's method of filling solid pharmaceutical product packaging by having the step of dispensing first and second pharmaceuticals into a single template cavity, as suggested by Bouthiette, in order to be administered to a patient whenever the patient has to take the bills together at the same time.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is (703) 308-2809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rada, Rinaldi can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 308-7769 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

ST December 3, 2002

EUGENE KIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER